1 been well documented that during major storms on the east 2. coast Harmful Algal Blooms occur during sediment 3 dispersal. And these are cysts that live in the sediment and when they are rolled up they come to life and form 4 5 These algae are toxic to human beings and when blooms. 6 taken up by shellfish these are no longer fit for human 7 consumption. 8 Fourth, but not last, we have worked 9 extensively in the Branford area in the Farm River marshes 10 and we have collected evidence that this region may carry 11 more seismic risk than neighboring regions. The eastern 12 border fault is the major structural lineament in 13 Connecticut crosses under the Farm River and our detailed 14 seismographic studies have shown that there have been 15 repeated off-sets in that area of several thousand 16 centimeters over the last two and a half thousand years. 17 We have --18 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Please make sure that your entire statement gets into the 19 20 record. Sir, please make sure your entire statement gets 21 into the record. The additional stenographer will be 22 happy to take that for you. Next speaker, Larry Williams 23 followed by Carmela Cuomo. Larry Williams, 68 Harbor Edge 24 Drive, Milford? Carmela Cuomo?

1 MS. CARMELA CUOMO: Hello. I thank you for 2. the opportunity to speak to you. My name is Carmela 3 I'm a research associate -- research scientist at Yale University in the Department of Geology and 4 5 Geophysics and I head up the Undergraduate Marine Biology 6 program at the University of New Haven. 7 I'm a resident of Hamden, so I too speak to you not so much as a citizen of Branford, but as a 8 9 concerned scientist whose area of expertise is on sediment 10 geochemistry, bentic-biological coupling to sediment 11 geochemistry and hypoxia in anoxic environment and I work 12 especially in Long Island Sound. 13 I have several points and serious doubts 14 that I would like to discuss with you. I will be 15 submitting a written statement. I have read the draft 16 Environmental Impact Statement, the full Environmental 17 Impact Statement and the subsequent modifications to the plan that Islander East has submitted and I have some 18 19 serious questions about several of the things that are in 20 there. First of all, the geochemical considerations are 21 not adequately addressed at all. As Johann Verakamp just 22 mentioned when you stir up the sediments, the contaminants that are in the sediments will be released into the 23 24 overlying water.

1 My concern is that not only will they be 2. released, but they are in a chemical state called bing 3 reduced, they are in an anoxic environment. Metals, which Islander East in their few times that they did sample, 4 5 their geochemical samplings said that they found evidence 6 of silver, cabnium, calcium, chromium, copper, manganese, 7 nickel and lead and zinc. And according to their -- their 8 EIS does state that they actually were above threshold levels at the few sites that they did sample. If you then 9 10 stir this up and oxygenate it, those metals are going to 11 come off of many of them. They going to become bio-12 available and you're going to have problems. 13 My second concern the bentic-ecology. Their bentic-ecologist speaks of recovery times, well, it 14 is well known by all bentic-ecologists, myself included, 15 16 that recovery times are not an absolute science. We have 17 not actually been able to come up with a qualitative or even a quantitative model that can adequately address 18 recovery times in bentic soft grain systems such as you 19 have in Branford. So we do not know. 20 21 The best thing that we can look at is what 22 happened in Milford where the Iroquois line was laid. 23 years later those systems still have not recovered. 24 is somewhat preposterous to assume that somehow

1 miraculously it's just going to happen in a year in 2. Branford. My third point is that I just finished 3 working on why the lobsters died in western Long Island 4 5 Sound and one of the major reasons influencing that seems 6 to be the fact that the area here is experiencing warming 7 of the waters, it's a climatic change. We are now coupling a climatic change to an otherwise already 8 9 environmentally stressed system. When you do that, we 10 don't even understand the environmental stressers on the 11 Sound adequately enough to understand what's happening. 12 You couple that to environmental change and it's a whole 13 new ballgame and that's primarily one of the things that 14 killed the lobsters. 15 So we're just trying to figure it out for 16 western Long Island Sound and you're going to take an area 17 in central Long Island Sound, dig up a whole bunch of other environmental stressers, couple that with an 18 environmental forcing function, such as global change, and 19 20 you have a mess that no scientist is going to be able to 21 predict. 22 So I urge you --23 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you very much. 2.4 Next speaker, Richard Donohoe, who will be followed by

1	George Case.
2	MR. RICHARD DONOHOE: Richard Donohoe, 23
3	Tweed Road. We've been my family and I have been
4	neighbors of the railroad for about 30 years and I would
5	like to address the question of safety. As you indicated
6	Colonel, that is one of the charges that you have to look
7	into.
8	The question of what happens at the
9	terminus has been handled very nicely by the
10	representative of Tilcon, so I would like to simply
11	address what happens along the train track. Please know
12	that in the last 15 years in our country we've had 3,184
13	incidents, accidents, in gas pipelines, which have cost
14	America the lives of 328 people.
15	Tilcon in it's submission to the
16	Connecticut Siting Council in 2002 stated the following.
17	Despite all the precautions employed by Tilcon and the
18	Railroad to prevent accidents they occasionally occur.
19	Trains weighting 4,000,000 pounds derail and damage the
20	track system and surrounding areas. Tilcon Railroads
21	ongoing maintenance and improvement projects require that
22	the full width of the right of way be available to allow
23	the restoration of tracks and other facilities, or to
24	allow the repair of derailments and spillage resulting

1 from derailments.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2. Tilcon requires the unfettered ability to 3 use the entire width of the right of way in the operation and maintenance of the rail system. 4 The endemic 5 construction and maintenance of the pipeline along the 6 railroad tracks poses serious safety concerns. The right 7 of way is too narrow to accommodate the railroad operation 8 and the pipeline. In short, the pipeline and the railroad 9 cannot safely co-exist on this narrow, single purpose 10 piece of property. There are better, safer and less 11 invasive options available to the Applicant for it's 12 pipeline.

I verified last week that this is still the position of the Company. Sometime last year the patriots, who run Islander East, submitted to the Department of Commerce, that the Department of Commerce, the Federal Department of Commerce should overcome the Connecticut Environmental Department (indiscernible) ruling against their project stating as an issue the question of national security. The Department of Commerce very wisely bucked it over to the Department of Defense, the Pentagon. And on April 29th of this year a Mr. Philip Grone, who is the Department of Commerce as follows.

1	"We have reviewed the appeal and cannot
2	conclude that a national defense or other national
3	security interest would be significantly impaired if the
4	project were not permitted to go forward as proposed."
5	Now, Mr. Grone reports to Mr. Rumsfeld, who
6	reports to President Bush. Don't we have a great country?
7	Thank you.
8	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Next
9	speaker, George Case will be followed by Gail Kleinman
10	Kineman.
11	MR. GEORGE CASE: I'm George Case. I live
12	in North Branford. I am not a scientist, I am an
13	observer. And I observe a clear context of the North
14	Branford town government in a property, cash and other
15	relationship with Tilcon. Secondly, Tilcon had property
16	who's got a building permit for Islander East.
17	I think we should refer the whole matter to
18	the Attorney General for action. Thank you.
19	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Our
20	next speaker, Gil Kelman, maybe? 15 Halls Point Road,
21	Stony Creek? Bill Horn? Mr. Horn will be followed by
22	Loretta Fox.
23	MR. BILL HORN: I'm Bill Horn. I live at
24	246 Pleasant Point Road and I'm speaking tonight as past

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 President of the Land Trust. I've had a lot to do with 2. following this pipeline. 3 I'm going to depart from what I'd planned to say to deal with a couple of things. One that Mr. 4 Reinemann talked about, the restoration plan that has been 5 6 developed through consultation with the Land Trust for 7 Land Trust properties. Restoration is a tricky word. I 8 think what we've developed is a plan, which if it works as 9 we hope will keep the right of way on Land Trust 10 properties from turning into the weed patch that the 11 Algonquin right of way in North Branford has turned into. 12 It's a poster child for invasive species. I hope we can 13 prevent that from happening. 14 The property will not be restored to what We're losing trees that are probably 100 or 15 it is now. 16 150 years old. Even in the work areas where they'll be 17 allowed to re-grow, I won't see them, my kids won't see them, my grandkids probably won't see them. We're not 18 restoring the area. 19 20 The other thing has to do with Mr. 21 Brockett's suggestion about a -- I believe he said a 22 linear walking path. We had actually considered the 23 possibility of trying to work something like that out. 24 One of the problems is that, as was pointed out in the

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 Blue Ribbon Commission report, the pipeline snakes its way 2. back and forth across a working railroad. It's very difficult to conceive from a safety point of view how that 3 would work as a walking path. 4 5 It also follows the wetlands because it's 6 easier to go through wetlands than it is to go through 7 rock. And so you can't have a walking path in sensitive 8 habitats like wetlands. So as much as we appreciate Mr. Brockett's suggestion I think it's something that we would 9 probably not be able to work out. Thank you very much. 10 11 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 12 speaker, Loretta Fox followed by John Wilson. 13 MS. LORETTA FOX: Good evening and thank you for coming to Branford to listen to our concerns. My 14 name is Loretta Fox. I live in the Stony Creek section of 15 16 Branford at 15 Long Point Road. 17 I would like to address the perception that the opposition to this pipeline project is strictly a case 18 of NIMBYism and not in my backyard. I present to you 19 20 documents that support the fact that there are other ways 21 in which the Long Island Sound is receiving support. 22 The DEP has had a Coastal Zone Management 23 Act for over 20 years. One of the things the state has 24 implemented is the Long Island Sound License Plate

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 Project. In fact, one of the early benefits of that is 2. the Branford Trolley Track Footbridge, which received 3 \$25,000 because the DEP thought that visitors to the footbridge could expect to see numerous shorebirds in 4 5 tidal estuaries, feeding in the marsh or wading in the 6 shallow water. This marsh then is the one that is being 7 impacted. Also in 1989 the Connecticut General 8 9 Assembly established the Long Island Sound Assembly. 10 receive funds and their purpose is to improve Long Island 11 I have copies of their newsletter and their 12 reports to the State. 13 The USEPA has the Long Island Sound Office. 14 They have a program called the Long Island Sound Programs. They partner with New York to try to restore, 15 16 protect and enhance Long Island Sound. The Long Island 17 Sound Foundation, formed in 1962, that group also produces a -- well, this year -- I know my light's going to turn 18 red, but this is the book that they provide, which is a 19 20 book of resources, which I borrowed from my library 21 telling every way in which you can work to help Long 22 Island Sound, all the organizations. And they wanted us 23 to get involved and that's what we've done. 24 And the last is the U.S. has created the

1 Oceans Act of 2000. It was entered at the time of the 2. Clinton administration and they have select members like 3 Robert Ballard on their committee and they're going to be doing a report on a three-year study this fall. So I 4 5 submit that it seems that there is more than just this 6 small elite group of people from Branford who care about 7 the precious resource we call Long Island Sound. 8 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. 9 Next speaker, John Wilson, he'll be followed by Joseph 10 Dendis. 11 MR. JOHN WILSON: My name is John Wilson. I 12 live in Stony Creek. I am speaking to ask that you deny a 13 permit to Islander East and that you support the 14 determination by the Connecticut Department of 15 Environmental Protection. This project is not consistent 16 with Connecticut's Coastal Shore Management Program. 17 proposed Islander East Pipeline is neither indispensable or necessary as there is an alternative route that is 18 shorter, safer, and less damaging to the environment. 19 20 In addition, it is highly questionable that 21 there is a market demand for their product. The existing 22 environmental protection laws should not be corrupted to 23 provide compensation for political contributions by the 24 energy industry. Imminent domain should not be used to

1 create terrorist targets. A natural gas pipeline buried 2. in three feet of dirt is a vulnerable terrorist target. 3 How is the current administration and it's war on terrorism going to stop a terrorist with a small shovel 4 and a radio controlled detonating device? 5 6 In my opinion, building this pipeline next 7 to a school should be a criminal offense. Please ensure 8 that human safety, the Connecticut shoreline and the 9 waters of the Long Island Sound are preserved and protected for future generations. Please deny a permit to 10 11 Islander East. Thank you. 12 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 13 speaker, Joseph Dendas followed by Andrea Wilson. 14 MR. JOSEPH DENDAS: My name is Joe Dendas. 15 I live on 15 Pleasant Point Road in Branford, which is 16 about two football fields away from where they plan on 17 putting the pipeline. As a former member of the U.S. Army Corps 18 of Engineers I stand before you. I'm not a scientist. 19 20 I'm not a doctor. I'm not a teacher. I'm not a tree 21 hugger. But I can tell you that I don't want this going 22 through my backyard and I know my neighbors don't. this is detrimental to the environment for where we live 23 24 and I think it is also a safety hazard. I have an eight-

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 month-old daughter and I know a lot of my neighbors have 2. families in the area. 3 Islander East I believe is just a corporation that's trying to make profits from putting 4 5 this pipeline through Long Island Sound and we've had a 6 lot of people here tonight that are against this. Myself 7 also. The other thing is that I know being in the Army 8 Corps of Engineers, and I know that you all there know 9 that this is not going to be a safe type of endeavor here. 10 And I hope that you all vote against this and I know that 11 I'm against this and I know that the people in the town of 12 Branford and the State of Connecticut are against this. 13 Thank you. 14 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Next 15 speaker, Andrea Wilson, to be followed by Chad Lyons. 16 MS. ANDREA WILSON: Good evening. My name 17 is Andrea Wilson. I reside in Stony Creek, Connecticut. Thank you very much for this opportunity to speak to you 18 19 this evening. 20 I am speaking in opposition to the proposed 21 Islander East Pipeline through Branford. I oppose it for 22 the following reasons. One, there is a shorter route for the pipeline. Two, there is a safer route for the 23 24 pipeline. It is unconscionable to even consider placing a

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 high pressure natural gas pipeline adjacent to a school. 2. The route of the proposed pipeline is past Whitewood 3 School and takes no measures to protect the children. This route was chosen because it was more profitable than 4 5 using the existing pipeline in Milford. 6 Recently, in Jeffersonville, Kentucky a 7 natural gas pipeline, similar to the one proposed through 8 Branford, exploded. In that fire acres were scorched and 9 because of the pressure in the pipeline it took several 10 hours for the flames to be brought under control. I would 11 like you to try to imagine just what would happen to 12 school children 70 feet away from a ball of fire 1,000 13 feet in the air that is hot enough to melt sand? In my opinion, building a high pressure gas pipeline next to a 14 school cannot be morally justified. 15 16 Three, there is a less environmentally 17 damaging route for the pipeline. In conclusion, to date 18 there has been no proof that the pipeline is either indispensable or necessary. The proposed pipeline through 19 20 Branford is not about eminent domain, it is about greed. 21 This pipeline is a safety and environmental disaster and needs to be stopped now. Thank you. 22 23 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.

Next speaker, Chad Lewis, who will be followed by Charlene

24

1	Granata.
2	MR. CHAD LYONS: That's Chad Lyons. My
3	name is Chad Lyons. I'm a long-time resident of Branford
4	and I've been asked to read a letter here and submit some
5	documentation for John Barkley, who is a doctor from the
6	Wildlife Conservation Research Center up at UConn.
7	It says, "I am writing to express my
8	concern about potential impacts of the Islander East
9	Pipeline on greater scaup ducks and their habitats in
10	Branford. I was a long-time resident and recent property
11	owner in Branford and am very familiar with the proposed
12	sites. As a professional waterfowl biologist and
13	Associate Professor of Wildlife Ecology at the University
14	of Connecticut, I have done extensive research on these
15	ducks and their habitats in both Pine Orchard and Short
16	Beach as well as other location. I have read text and
17	examined maps depicting the location, description and the
18	Applicant's table of generalized potential environmental
19	impacts of the proposed Islander East Pipeline via Pine
20	Orchard and the alternate via Short Beach."
21	There's a lot of technical background, but
22	I'll go onto his summary.
23	"Pipeline impact. A copy of information
24	provided by the Applicant indicates there is some

ambivalence as to just what pipeline installation

1

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

2. techniques will be used in any given site. Since massive 3 granitic bedrock and shallow glacial till overburden are major components of Kelsey Island and Short Beach, 4 5 pipeline installation would seem to pose serious 6 ramifications for the existing habitat for greater scaup and their food resources. 7 I believe that any major 8 disturbance of sediments presents risk to existing or 9 future scaup and other wildlife food resources in that 10 embayment. 11 If pipeline installation occurs in colder 12 months when migration and wintering birds are normally 13 present, the disturbance from installation and human 14 activity is expected to keep the birds from accessing essential food resources, deprive the birds from shelter 15 16 afforded by the shoreline during northerly winds. 17 direction and strength, stage of tide, coastal currents and occurrence of storms influence where, to what extent, 18 and what kind of biological effects from site disturbance 19 20 will occur on other habitats adjacent to or near the 21 actual disturbance site." 22 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Please submit --23 24 MR. LYONS: I'll submit this and some other

1	documents.
2	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Next
3	speaker, Charlene G-R-A-N-A-T-A, followed by Stacey Rhine.
4	MS. CARLENE GRANATA: Good evening. My
5	name is Carlene Granata. I live at 50 Winding Forest
6	Drive in North Branford. I am here tonight as an impacted
7	landowner and I am opposed to the Islander East Pipeline.
8	This pipeline is proposed to go through my
9	backyard. We have woods behind our home, which act as a
10	natural buffer between us and a heavy industrial area. If
11	the pipeline goes through there will be a 75-foot wide
12	foot strip of trees cleared. It'll take Islander East 30
13	minutes to cut down and destroy what took 30 years to
14	grow. They plan to replace the 80-foot trees with grass
15	seed. This will expose my home and my family to the
16	industrial area. Then not only will we be forced to see
17	and hear all the sights and sounds of Blakesley, Tilcon
18	Railroad train and other business, all the dirt and dust
19	which the trees now filter out will come through my yard
20	and will contribute to health issues as my husband has
21	asthma.
22	Also, my husband and son are amateur
23	astronomers and the light intrusion from the company's
24	nightshifts will eliminate their hobby at home. We're

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 also members of the Cedar Lake Association. There's 144 2. families surrounding the lake area who have deeded lake rights. The neighborhood children play there and swim in 3 the lake. Cedar Lake feeds Lindsey Lake, also in North 4 5 Branford, which feeds a supply pond in Branford. If the 6 ground is blasted to lay pipeline in the contaminated area 7 documentation states that the contamination will spread 8 and may reach Cedar Lake, which will spread to Lindsey 9 Lake and then to the supply pond. Contamination will kill plant life, biological life and wildlife in the three 10 11 areas. 12 As bad as that would be I am more concerned 13 about what would happen to our children who swim and play 14 in the contaminated lake. Will we not know the contamination has spread until the fish and wildlife die 15 16 or until our children get sick? And who will be held 17 accountable for this travesty? 18 This pipeline route is residential and invades people's homes and their lives. The devastation 19 20 of this poorly planned project will be felt for years to 21 come. Future generations will inherit it's aftermath, but 22 once the damage is done the destruction and devastation 23 cannot be reversed or repaired. 24 This room tonight is filled with very

1 intelligent and well-informed people. There have been 2. numerous hearings, meetings and rallies with hundreds and 3 thousands of people and petition signatures and the message is always the same, stop the pipeline. How can so 4 5 many people speak so well and have such valid concerns of 6 opposition and Islander East still continues to try to 7 push this project through? You need to ask yourselves, if 8 this is such a good thing, why are all of these people 9 continuing to give of themselves and their time to fight 10 These people are not fighting for a large bottom line 11 dollar profit, or for large corporate success, or for political advancement. They are fighting to maintain 12 13 their quality of life, the integrity of their homes and 14 the safety, health and well being of their families. 15 In closing, we are asking you tonight for 16 your support in helping us to maintain what is valuable to 17 us and what we have all worked so hard for. Please do not fall victim to their pressures of big business and 18 politics. Please do the right thing. Please stop the 19 20 pipeline. 21 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. 22 Next speaker, Stacey -- it's either Rhine or R-U-W-E 23 maybe? 15 Watershed Road. Ruth Ann Gault, or Gault, 24 Trumbull, Connecticut?

1	MS. RUTH ANN WIESENTHAL-GAULT: Hi. It's
2	Ruth Ann Wiesenthal-Gault. Do you need me to spell it?
3	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: No, no.
4	MS. WIESENTHAL-GAULT: Okay. I'm from
5	Weston, Connecticut, also a landlocked community. "I'm
6	here tonight as a proud member of the Long Island Sound
7	Action Coalition and in my capacity as President of the
8	Woodlands Coalition. The Woodlands Coalition is a grass
9	roots organization of more than 5,000 members whose goals
10	it o protect the environment of Connecticut. Long Island
11	Sound is a critical part of that environment. There are
12	many who would bifurcate the uplands from the cross Sound
13	issues. This must not and cannot be done. Our presence
14	here tonight is demonstration of that.
15	There are many who there are many who
16	have, because of their love of their State and Long Island
17	Sound, the ability to speak with knowledge, experience and
18	great passion. They will in the most articulate way
19	possible state the facts. Consider me, if you will, the
20	voice of the many who follow this issue with concern and
21	yet are not certain what they as individuals can do to
22	stem the tide of the destruction of our environment for
23	the sake of the utilities companies.
24	In your consideration of this file we ask

1 that you answer these questions. Isn't it likely that 2. construction of the pipeline will cause unacceptable and 3 irreparable damage to coastal and marine resources in an area of exceptional marine habitat diversity? Are there 4 5 other alternatives, which could provide increased supplies 6 of gas to Long Island at less environmental cost? 7 it the case that the Department of Defense and the U.S. 8 Army Corps of Engineers have in their comments stated that 9 there is no national defense or security issues identified 10 by the Applicant that would in some way be harmed? Finally, isn't it a reality when I ask, is this an 11 12 application based on the imagination of a company who 13 would create a demand where there is none and misrepresent 14 the pipeline system? 15 It has always been the goal of the 16 Woodlands Coalition to search for balance. As we began to 17 more closely examine the issues before you tonight we began to have a better clarify of the position in which 18 you find yourselves. The district ACOE analysts are on 19 20 record pointing out several times that they are required 21 to deny permits to projects that are not the least 22 environmentally damaging alternative. FERC acknowledges that there is a different route that is less damaging. 23 24 This leaves you too facing that same

1 challenge, how to find balance. Islander East is pushing 2. ahead with their project with the support from FERC and 3 the Bush/Cheney energy team. That makes your search for balance all the more difficult. Sometimes the balance is 4 5 found in going to the other extreme. Someone must take a 6 stand to protect the environment, the people and the 7 Sound. You are in the position to do just that, we look 8 to you for the positive solution. Thank you." 9 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you. Next 10 speaker, Ken Warner to be followed by Jerry Shaw. 11 VOICE: Ken Warner left. 12 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Ken left. Jerry 13 Shaw? Kiki Kennedy? 14 MS. KIKI KENNEDY: My name is Kiki Kennedy and I'm the spokesperson for Connecticut Stop the 15 16 Pipeline. I'm also a resident of Branford and a Board 17 member of two non-profit organizations, Save the Sound and Connecticut Fund for the Environment. And I want to thank 18 everyone for coming tonight. 19 20 I hope that the Corps recognizes that this 21 has really been a phenomenal turnout considering how confusing the permit application is as well as the 22 23 vacation situation for people during the summer. 24 want to extend our deepest thanks to Commission Arthur

1 Rocque and his dedicated staff for their diligent work and 2. thorough review in issuing the second denial of Federal 3 consistency last week. And thank you to the Corps for holding this public hearing and allowing us to express our 4 5 concerns directly to you. 6 Connecticut Stop the Pipeline is a 7 grassroots organization founded in 2001 in response to the Islander East Pipeline Project. As part of the Long 8 9 Island Sound Action Coalition our mission is to protect 10 Connecticut and Long Island Sound from all cross Sound 11 energy projects. Notably, in October 2002 Connecticut Stop the Pipeline was awarded an environmental leadership 12 award from Connecticut Fund for the Environment. 13 14 Right now I'd like to submit to the record one copy of the 5,577 signatures collected during our 15 16 petition drive, which ran from August 2001 to mid-November 17 2001. The original petitions are in safekeeping, but can certainly be made available for inspection by the Corps if 18 requested. These signatures demonstrate the opposition of 19 20 thousands of shoreline citizens to the Islander East 21 Pipeline Project. Our opposition arises because of strong 22 concerns about the environment, economic and safety 23 impacts the pipeline will have on Connecticut and Long 2.4 Island Sound. These have been already strongly detailed

1 here tonight. 2. There are three other serious concerns that 3 have arise since our petition drive. Alternatives, we respectfully request that you the Corps work to ensure 4 that a complete analysis of all alternative routes, 5 6 including non-cross Sound routes is performed. Cumulative 7 impacts, we're concerned that Islander East has approached 8 this project in a piecemeal way in order to under-9 represent the full environmental impacts of their 10 preferred route. We believe that upgrades to the 11 Algonquin system where it connects with Islander East in 12 North Haven will be required and as such, a part of the 13 full environmental review by the Corps. 14 Thirdly, Islander East's treatment of the 15 data, many requests for more data and information have 16 gone unheeded for over two years. For example, the final 17 copy of Dr. Bowen's sedimentation report from 2002 in June. Second, information has been misrepresented and/or 18 19 studies and surveys and testing are flawed or inadequate. 20 Witness Jonathan Waters and the ludicrous assertion that 21 there are no oysters in the Sound. And information and 22 real motives are concealed. The best example of that is

by Islander with your Agency on July 29th, 2003. I will

the recent offshore dredge disposal permit amendment filed

23

24

1	detail that in my written submission.
2	In summary, I'm frustrated with Islander
3	East's persistent evasion of our questions, non-disclosure
4	of information and misrepresentation of data and we're
5	looking to you, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to hear
6	our concerns, protect our community and get Islander East
7	to answer our questions and hopefully deny the Islander
8	East Pipeline Company their permit. Thank you.
9	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
10	Jerry Shaw, who will be followed by Tim Reiner.
11	MR. JERRY SHAW: Yes. My name is Jerry
12	Shaw. I'm from Stony Creek. I had the privilege of
13	representing the Connecticut Fund for the Environment on
14	the Long Island Sound Task Force and I have submitted
15	under separate cover a copy of the Task Force report,
16	which I think you'll find of interest, because it
17	represents a significant effort by the State of
18	Connecticut in several aspects.
19	Number one, it creates an energy planning
20	organization. As you know, FERC is not a planner. They
21	have a statement on their web site that they do not plan,
22	that they depend upon the proponents of the pipeline or
23	the energy to provide a sort of a de facto plan based on
24	economic issues. And what I would like to suggest to the

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

Corps is that they look at the Task Force results and I 1 2. would just like to read a conclusion based on the Task 3 Force results that I think you will find of interest. "Without the benefits of a prudent 4 5 regionally derived energy plan the consideration of any 6 project that crosses Long Island Sound is premature. We 7 have been forced by FERC to consider Islander East as part 8 of a de facto regional energy plan based only on market 9 forces and the strategic business plans of Duke and 10 Keyspan. However, absent Connecticut's future resolution 11 of this issue, and I point again to the Task Force 12 results, I believe that Long Island Sound Task Force 13 report conclusions support the efforts of the Army Corps 14 of Engineers in it's consideration of all system 15 alternatives which have fewer significant environmental 16 impacts under provisions of the Clean Water Act." 17 I point out that the Task Force has also recommended the creation of marine protected zones and I 18 believe that there was legislation introduced this past 19 20 year designating the waters of the Thimble Islands as a 21 protected zone. Thank you very much. 22 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 23 speaker, Tim Raynor followed by Annie Upson. 24 MR. TIM RAYNOR: Wow. Can you imagine

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 this? U.S. Senators, Congressmen, Congresswomen, State 2. Senators, State Reps., a First Selectmen, people from Yale 3 University, some of the brightest minds in the world, Wesleyan, University of Connecticut. I'm awed. 4 5 started this about two years ago and the reason I got 6 involved is it's going to effect me personally. 7 I live on Pepperwood Lane with my wife and 8 my two daughters and in my backyard Bill Horn and his 9 group, they bought some Land Trust property. So my first 10 concern is, I'm not ashamed to say at all, not in my 11 backyard. Why? Safety. Hundreds of people have died because of pipelines. It's going to be within 50 yards of 12 13 my property line. 14 Not in my backyard. Why? I can sit on my deck, coyotes, deer, turkey, raccoons, skunk, chipmunk, it 15 16 all comes through. So I can probably say, not in my 17 backyard, please. Why? What am I going to leave my children? What kind of legacy, what type of story, what 18 kind of lesson am I going to leave my children if I say 19 20 it's okay for a limited liability corporation, that's not 21 based in Connecticut, that has no long-term interest in my 22 state, in my community, has no long-term interest in my 23 family to come in and to force their will upon us Yankees? 24 Boy, did they know what they were getting into a couple

- of years ago when they said they were going to do this? I
- 2 don't think so.
- 3 So I'm proud to say, please not in my back
- 4 yard. Thank you.
- 5 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Annie
- 6 Upson followed by David Perkins.
- 7 MS. ANNIE UPSON: Good evening. My name is
- 8 Annie Upson. I live at 25 Cadwell Place, Branford.
- 9 First, I'd like to thank the Corps for listening to our
- 10 concerns.
- I have a personal interest in stopping the
- 12 pipeline as the pipeline will go through the property of
- my family's in North Haven destroying the property and
- decreasing the value of this farmland and open space. I
- 15 have three main reasons why I'm against the pipeline.
- 16 One, the destruction to the environment and the ecology.
- 17 Two, the alternate safer and shorter route being available
- and three, the manner in which Islander East approached
- 19 the elderly farmers in North Haven to obtain signatures to
- 20 allow them to survey the existing gas company pipeline
- 21 area for expansion.
- 22 Representatives from Islander East
- 23 approached these elderly people with statements like, the
- 24 Federal government has already agreed to this project.

1	There is nothing you can do about it. This is a done
2	deal. They also said, you will need to sign or you will
3	be sued by Islander East and the government. When I
4	explained to the representative that what she was stating
5	to my mother was untrue, as there was no permit given to
6	Islander East from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, she
7	related that she would have to get back to me and never
8	contacted myself or my mother.
9	In my opinion, I find the business
10	practices of Islander East with the community unethical,
11	unprofessional and immoral, constituting elder abuse and I
12	thereby request that you deny the permit to Islander East.
13	Thank you.
14	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
15	Next speaker, David Perkins? Joan Burdick?
16	VOICE: She's gone.
17	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Mary Margaret Visnic
18	who will be followed by I'll get to that later. Ma'am?
19	MS. MARY MARGARET VISNIC: Hi. My name is
20	Mary Margaret Visnic. My address is 347 Pine Orchard
21	Road. I'm a resident of Branford and I first want to
22	thank you for coming tonight. We appreciate it. And I'm
23	going to read a letter on behalf of the Soundkeeper, Terry
24	Backer.

1 "This letter is to express Soundkeeper, 2. Incorporated's opposition to the construction of the 3 Islander East natural gas pipeline across Long Island Soundkeeper is a not-for-profit, whose mission is 4 to enhance the biological, physical and chemical integrity 5 6 of the Sound. 7 The construction of the Islander East line 8 would cause undue disruption to the Long Island Sound 9 environment. Existing lines are dicing the Sound's seabed 10 into ever decreasing grids. There are now 12 11 telecommunication lines, pipelines and power cables 12 crossing the Sound. There are also a number of proposed 13 projects yet to be submitted. The result of these lines 14 beyond construction impact is slowly eliminating traditional use of the trust that has been practiced for 15 16 200 years. 17 There are several reasons why you should 18 not allow Islander East to go forward. First, the health of the trust is being diminishing along with traditional 19 20 public uses. The losses are accruing to the people 21 unnecessarily through a permit process that has failed. 22 As mentioned, there exists already a natural pipeline 23 crossing to Long Island. Use of the Iroquois Pipeline 2.4 should be maximized.

1	Reliability of supply from diverse sources,
2	such as the Sable Island fields, can be achieved without
3	Islander East. Instead of crossing the Sound at Branford
4	an overland pipeline should be constructed to interconnect
5	the Algonquin interconnect at Brookfield, Connecticut."
6	I'm going to summarize, "That there are
7	alternatives available to supply Long Island with natural
8	gas. Islander East is an unwarranted and unwise intrusion
9	into the Sound and should be rejected. The U.S. Army
10	Corps of Engineers should honor the State's position and
11	grant no further approvals until such time as the State
12	returns with its plan.
13	Sincerely, Terry Backer, Soundkeeper."
14	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
15	Next speaker, A. Ferwell, 129 Church Street, Suite 119?
16	Ma'am, would you please spell your first name for the
17	record?
18	MS. ANSTRESS FARWELL: My name is Anstress
19	Farwell. The first name is A-N-S-T-R-E-S-S.
20	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you.
21	MS. FARWELL: I live in Guilford and I work
22	in New Haven. I'm President of the New Haven Urban Design
23	League. We're an organization that's involved with
24	environmental issues, preservation and new development.

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

We have done a lot of work on New Haven's waterfront for 1 2. it's improvement and development and we work with various groups that are interested in Long Island Sound and I work 3 with the Long Island Sound Action Coalition. We work 4 5 together in order to try to develop better plans that 6 fulfill regional objectives. 7 We agree that there's better routes and 8 ways to provide energy for Long Island and we hope that 9 you'll consider these alternatives. That's my first very simple point. And second, there are many ways that the 10 11 application submitted by Islander East is incomplete. And I'll just bring up one example that's been mentioned 12 13 already and that's about the horizontal directional drill. 14 There's three points that are very 15 important that we don't know about here. One is 16 appropriate borings have not been done to note conditions, 17 despite what you've heard the \$25,000,000 that's been spent on developing this project. Where the drill will 18 emerge is not clear at this point. And an alternative to 19 20 the horizontal directional drill has not been presented 21 for review, just a statement that Islander East will find 22 an alternative. This lack of information creates a 23 2.4 dangerous scenario and having been involved in the effort

1 to stop the installation of the Cross Sound cable in New 2. Haven, I'm concerned that similar mistakes could be made 3 here and that in an effort to work with the Applicant discovery of facts will not be complete before decisions 4 5 are made. And I hope you understand, I raise these 6 questions with all respect for the work that you have done 7 statewide. But I think they're important things that do need to be raised here. 8 9 I recognize that this is a difficult issue 10 and that cross Sound cable has put all parties in an 11 impossible situation. The cable has compromised the use 12 and value of the shipping channel in New Haven and it has 13 probably damaged shellfish beds. I can only say, 14 probably, because the DEP, which is so inadequately funded 15 with our State budget crisis, has not been able to 16 complete a study of shellfish mortality in the effected 17 area and I hope that that study can be done soon. 18 So we really lack very important So the HDD issue really could be a deja vu 19 information. 20 all over again in this kind of issue here in Branford, to 21 quote Yoqi Berra. And I think it's interesting that this 22 happened in New Haven despite the fact that the Army Corps 23 issued one of the most restrictive permits in its history. 24 It still is difficult for you to reinforce. Thank you.

1 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. 2. Thank you very much. Next speaker, Matthew Gornan? Long 3 Island Association on the Cormack Road, Cormack, New York? Marvin Zimmerman? Ray G-I-N-C-A-U-A-G-E? Who will be 4 5 followed by Louis Nargi. 6 MR. RAY GINCAVAGE: Good evening. I'm Ray 7 Gincavage and I'm an effected landowner, but I'm not going to address those issues. I'm going to address more Sound 8 9 issues, many of which you've heard. Thank you for the 10 opportunity to talk to you tonight. 11 The Islander East Pipeline would negatively effect Long Island Sound. It would enter the Sound in the 12 13 vicinity of the Thimble Islands, one of the most beautiful 14 areas of the shoreline between New York and Maine. This area contains the most fertile shellfish beds in 15 16 Connecticut. These beds support an active local fishing 17 community, both commercial and residential. 18 Islander East has proposed using horizontal directional drilling to drill under these beds for a 19 distance of 4,000 feet. This has never been done before. 20 21 It is not known whether it would damage the bed in other 22 ways. For example, by drill vibration. Moreover, this 23 technology is not without risk, as was proven in New Haven 24 with the release of bentonite, which is used to lubricate

1 the drill I believe. 2. Also, shellfish beds extend more than 4,000 3 feet offshore. After 4,000 feet conventional and/or jetting trenching techniques would be used. These beds 4 5 further out would be subject to the same devastation that 6 was experienced in Milford 10 years ago when the Iroquois 7 Pipeline cross the Sound. Many of the Milford beds have 8 still not recovered. Initially lobstermen in the area 9 were vehemently against this project until Island East 10 bought their silence. The health of the Sound is a public 11 resource, not a private one. The right to damage it 12 should not be something that can be bought by paying off a 13 segment of a workforce that makes a living in it. 14 Why would Islander East pay these millions of dollars to buy silence if they were not indeed damaging 15 16 a resource? Several years ago the Cross Sound Cable 17 Project looked at this very route and abandoned it 18 partially because of the environmental sensitivity of the Even FERC has admitted there's a route to use, 19 20 which is less damaging to the environment, yet Islander 21 East has stubbornly refused to consider this route. I 22 submit this is because of the economics of that route are less favorable to Islander East. 23 24 Our resources should not be damaged to line

1 Islander East's pockets. Let them spend the extra money 2. and perhaps give up some profit potential to use a route 3 that is environmentally preferable. FERC said there is a better way. The Connecticut DEP said the proposed route 4 5 was unacceptable. The Branford Blue Ribbon Committee 6 pointed out flaws. The company that owns the railroad 7 expressed concerns about the pipeline and the railroad existing safely together. Yet as recently as last week, 8 after DEP's second denial of the proposed project John 9 10 Sheriden, a spokesman for Islander East was quoted as 11 saying, they are still moving forward with this project. 12 What's wrong with this picture? It's quite clear that the 13 Islander East project needs to go elsewhere. 14 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. speaker, Louis Nargi, he'll be followed by Charles 15 16 Rothenberger. 17 MR. LOUIS NARGI: My name is Louis Nargi, 79 Stony Creek Road, Branford. I'd like to go on record 18 19 saying that I oppose this project. I'm an effected landowner, as matter of fact, I'm very effected. Okay? 20 Ι 21 live on a half acre of land right next to the railroad 22 tracks. This pipeline will destroy my septic system. And 23 I did a perc test that Islander East paid for, they forced 24 me to do it. I told them my septic system couldn't be

1 moved in another area, but they forced me to do it, so I 2. did it. The result of that test is that the septic system cannot be moved off their proposed easement because 3 there's no more land reserve, there's too much ledge in 4 the area and what's left is just not enough to put a new 5 6 septic system. Okay? 7 This destroys my septic system. Okay? 8 least three feet of their permanent easement is in my 9 house. As a matter of fact it's in my bedroom. 10 Less than 20 feet there's a granite ledge that's going to 11 have to be blasted out. What am I supposed to do? 12 Evacuate my house when this happens when they blast it 13 out? 14 And it's probably 15 feet from the railroad tracks. Do you think it might do damage to the railroad 15 16 So I guess my property will have to be condemned. tracks? 17 Now, I bought this house three years ago. Six months after I bought this house I started getting notices and 18 letters. I told Islander East I had a septic system. You 19 20 know what they did? They ignored it. They didn't even know I had a septic system. That's how thorough they are. 21 22 Okay? 23 You got -- you're dealing with a bunch of 24 people that created rolling blackouts in California so

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1	they could raise rates on those people. And we're still
2	dealing with these people two years later. This is a bad
3	place for this pipeline. They even know it. Everybody in
4	Branford, Milford, everybody in Connecticut knows it as
5	you heard here tonight.
6	So I'm opposed to this pipeline and I'm
7	sure everybody else is. Thank you very much.
8	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Next
9	speaker, Charles Rothenberger, followed by L-U-I-K-D-I-C-
10	O-C-E-O, maybe? Sir?
11	MR. CHARLES ROTHENBERGER: Good evening.
12	My name is Charles Rothenberger and I thank you for the
13	opportunity to present some of these comments on behalf of
14	the Connecticut Fund for the Environment.
15	"CFE is a Statewide legal advocacy
16	organization based in New Haven, which is dedicated to
17	protecting Connecticut's environment and preserving the
18	natural resources of the State. The issue presently
19	before you is whether to issue a permit for a proposed
20	pipeline project that will have serious environmental
21	consequences on tidal wetlands in Connecticut and on water
22	quality and shellfish habitat in Long Island Sound. CFE
23	urges you to deny the permit application.
0.4	

24

The seriousness of the potential

environmental consequences that would result if this 1 2. proposal is approved can be seen in the unanimity with 3 which Connecticut's public officials have responded to protect the Sound from such projects. Rarely has any one 4 5 issue found such broad agreement among the various State 6 agencies charged with protecting Connecticut's 7 Environment. The Governor and the General Assembly have 8 independently established general moratoriums on cross-9 Sound energy pipeline projects. And in the case of the specific submission before you, both the Attorney 10 11 General's office and the Department of Environmental Protection have raised serious concerns about the proposed 12 13 project. On October 15th, 2000 the Connecticut DEP 14 15 determined for the first time that the pipeline project 16 was inconsistent with Connecticut's Coastal Zone 17 Management plan. And just last week they reaffirmed that 18 denial. The DEP expressed particular concern about the proposed use of horizontal directional drilling. 19 Department noted that the releases of bentonite drilling 20 21 fluid routinely occur in at least 50 percent of all such 22 projects. Shellfish populations are particularly at risk 23 under this project because the proposed drilling corridor 2.4 will pass directly below 2000 linear feet of shellfish

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 lease beds. Despite the very real danger of such a 2. bentonite release however, the applicant has consistently failed to provide any plans related to monitoring and/or 3 mitigation of such releases. 4 5 These are not problems without solutions 6 however. The DEP specifically found that there was at 7 least one viable alternative to the current proposal that 8 would significantly reduce the adverse environmental 9 impacts of the pipeline. 10 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 11 MR. ROTHENBERGER: I'll submit the rest. 12 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you. Next 13 speaker, I believe L-O-U-I-K? Second name, D-I-C-O-C-E-O, 14 900 Chapel Street? No. Okay. Bruce Walker, who will be followed by Peter Brown. 15 16 MR. BRUCE WALKER: May name is Bruce Walker 17 and I live in New Haven, but I work in Branford. I work at Whitewood School. 18 Whitewood School is within feet of the 19 20 railroad line and I'm concerned about the safety of 21 upwards of 100 students, plus roughly 18 of my friends and 22 colleagues. A number of years ago there was a similar 23 type of gas line that ran under the right of way of a 2.4 railroad line in California. After a derailment and the

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

1 subsequent clean-up, during the clean-up they 2. inadvertently compromised the integrity of the pipe and subsequent to that the pressure of the pipe blew through 3 the part that had been compromised and it caused a 4 5 conflagration that wiped out an entire neighborhood. 6 Why it seems like a good idea to put a 7 pipeline next to a railroad line boggles my mind. 8 was a cynic I might think it was corporate greed. 9 once, we should let common sense prevail over corporate greed and stop the pipeline. Please deny this petition. 10 11 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 12 speaker, Peter Brown followed by John Frank. 13 MR. PETER BROWN: Hi. I'm Peter Brown. I'm a summer resident of Pot Rock Island in the Thimble 14 Islands and I'm a resident of 2 Hart Avenue in the Pine 15 16 Orchard neighborhood of Branford. 17 I'm speaking tonight for the folks that summer on the Thimble Islands. There's about 100 families 18 that the Thimble Islands Association represents. Senator 19 Dodd mentioned in his written statement that Long Island 20 21 Sound was the jewel of Connecticut and the Thimble Islands 22 are certainly its string of pearls. And we very much ask 23 that you folks deny the application. 2.4 Our Association unequivocally, unanimously

1 objects to the pipeline for five basic reasons. 2. environmental damage during the construction process. 3 Two, the environmental impact while the pipe is laying on the seabed, we're concerned that the delicate lobster 4 5 population will be further impacted. Even in natural 6 circumstances it's been proven to be very delicate. 7 Three, safety. They've talked about the rail line, 8 they've talked about the barge canal. One thing no one has mentioned is corrosion. We're very concerned that 9 10 this pipe in the salt environment will certainly corrode 11 and I think you'll find many of the pipeline disasters have had a component of corrosion, and we're concerned 12 13 about that. 14 Four, there's no public benefit. Not one 15 Thimble Island will have access to this gas, although the 16 pipeline will be some 500 feet away on the western side of 17 our properties. And we really are counting on the Army 18 Corps of Engineers to protect our pearls. Please do so. 19 Thank you. 20 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 21 speaker, John Franks who will be followed by Patrick Low. 22 MR. JOHN FRANK: My name is John Frank and I'm Chairman of the Norwalk Shellfish Commission. 23 2.4 is not a not in my backyard kind of thing. "This

1 application is for an unnecessary shortcut across 2. Connecticut and Long Island Sound to improve a competitive market position and deliver gas from Canada to Long 3 Island, New York. 4 5 Applicants already sell gas there. No 6 benefit in Connecticut is anticipated. No reason is given 7 to destroy 271 acres at least of Long Island Sound bottom, 8 most of it shellfish habitat when an upland route is 9 already established. There is existing pipeline on Long 10 Island owned by Keyspan that they intend to connect to. 11 This is really a request for a subsidy for Islander East 12 investors. 13 This line across public Trust Land under 14 the Sound could save them money at enormous environmental cost. We know they can bury utilities with very little 15 16 environmental impact on dry land. The record burying 17 utilities underwater has been terrible. Look at Cross Sound Cable, or the Iroquois Pipeline, or the leaking 18 cables from Norwalk to Northport. This is only one of 19 20 several proposals, all based on some destruction of public 21 Trust Land. The damage done to shellfish habitat is 22 always total and permanent. Connecticut Department of Environmental 23 2.4 Protection was right when they denied this application

1	twice. They found it caused unacceptable environmental
2	impacts and pointed out that it was not the only way to
3	get the gas to Long Island. The damage done when Iroquois
4	laid their pipeline, which is not being expanded, should
5	never be forgotten. The scars are still there. The
6	shellfish are gone forever.
7	The floor of the Sound must be protected
8	from further destructive commercial development. A major
9	industry in Connecticut is at stake. Please deny this
10	application.
11	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. Next
12	speaker, Patrick Low? Elizabeth Dock who will be followed
13	by Naomi Low.
14	MS. ELIZABETH DOCK: My name is Elizabeth
15	Dock. I live at 249 Pleasant Point Road in Branford.
16	The proposed pipeline through Branford
17	effects me personally three ways. First, my home looks
18	
	over a healthy salt meadow not more than 1,000 feet to the
19	over a healthy salt meadow not more than 1,000 feet to the northeast from a land to water entry site of the pipeline.
19 20	
	northeast from a land to water entry site of the pipeline.
20	northeast from a land to water entry site of the pipeline. And I believe the prevailing currents will bring
20 21	northeast from a land to water entry site of the pipeline. And I believe the prevailing currents will bring sediments, including drilling materials and heavy metals,

1	Active commercial harvesting of shellfish
2	in the pipeline area is visible from my home and the known
3	damage, if we learned from the Milford site, will render
4	these sites unusable for years. Connecticut is
5	justifiably proud of it's shellfishing industry and to
6	allow an unproven drilling project to tunnel under and
7	through these beds would be shameful.
8	Just during the break I spoke with Dr.
9	Sally Richards, a Yale Marine Scientist. And she told me
10	that sound levels during drilling at the site is probably
11	going to exceed 80 decibels. She didn't know how far
12	these levels would be transmitted underwater, but that
13	certainly is something that needs to be taken into
14	consideration, especially when we are talking about the
15	known seals that are in the area.
16	This project is only for the gain of
17	Islander East and it's parent companies. I urge that
18	common sense prevail, public trust be honored, that the
19	fragility of this region recognized and that this damaging
20	route be rejected by the Army Corps. Thank you very much.
21	MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
22	Next speaker, Naomi Low? Nick Christmal? Is he here?
23	Charles Johnson?
24	MR. CHARLES JOHNSON: Good evening. I'm

1 Charles Johnson and I live at 2 Juniper Point Road in 2. Branford and I thank you for hanging in here with us so 3 late this evening. "Tonight I appear before you in two 4 5 capacities. First, I come to you as the President of the 6 Juniper Point Association, representing 21 home or 7 landowners in the Juniper Point section of Pine Orchard in Branford. So in effect, this is about our backyard. This 8 project directly impacts our residents in that it skirts 9 10 the boarder of our property, while directly impacting the 11 wetlands and offshore assets that we all enjoy on a daily 12 basis. 13 The potential addition of a high-pressure gas pipeline on an active railroad right-of-way also adds 14 a significant element of risk to our residents, which is 15 16 clearly not attractive and certainly not consistent with 17 this residential area. In addition, it's construction would introduce substantial noise pollution for an 18 extended period of time to our residents. Our residents 19 20 ask you urgently to reject this proposal. 21 Second, I come before you as a citizen and 22 a businessman. I am fortunate to lead a publicly owned 23 corporation, which has earned prominence in it's own 2.4 markets. Therefore, I am most sensitive to the need for

HEARING RE: ALGONQUIN GAS/ISLANDER EAST AUGUST 5, 2003

adequate energy resources for our citizens without which 1 2. we cannot continue to build a prosperous society. However, I strongly believe that good business leaders 3 must bring balanced values to our desire for growth and 4 5 profit, while still providing respect for the natural 6 resources we enjoy. 7 These ideas are not mutually exclusive as sometimes implied by one side or the other. 8 9 Unfortunately, the basic premise of the proposed pipeline 10 project does not meet a balanced values test. 11 In short, I urge you to disallow the 12 proposals before you that would enable this project to go 13 forward or encourage it on the proposed site. We live in a great country and hopefully all of us will vote our 14 conscience at the next general election for officials to 15 16 lead our State and National affairs. I encourage all 17 Connecticut citizens to consider the position of candidates on the pipeline project as an important voting 18 criterion as they approach the polls. We cannot allow 19 this type of project to rule -- project thinking to rule 20 21 the day. Good governance and our great shoreline depend 22 on it. The very weight of public outcry demands it. 23 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you sir. 24 speaker, Paul H-U-A-N-G? Mark DeFelice, it looks like D-E

- 1 capital F-E-L-I-C-E? Maria Stockmal? To be followed by
- 2 Sarah Richards.
- 3 MS. MARIA STOCKMAL: Hi. My name is Maria
- 4 Stockmal. I represent over 300 members of the New Haven
- 5 Bird Club of which I'm the Conservation Committee
- 6 Chairperson.
- 7 We do support Stop the Pipeline and it's
- 8 concerns. In addition, we are concerned about
- 9 disappearing habitat that are friendly to birds. We have
- 10 disappearing species in Connecticut and we have a decrease
- in population of some species. Our pass time is becoming
- harder to find and it's also becoming a skill.
- I know the pipeline runs through an area
- 14 called the Green Corridor, which is a migratory stop for
- 15 birds. I don't know if the revision still has an impact
- on that area, but whether it does or not we need to take a
- greater responsibility when we develop or interrupt the
- 18 environment because we're probably losing more than we're
- 19 gaining.
- 20 MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
- 21 Next speaker, Sarah Richards? Mike G-U-A-R-N-I-E-R-E? 11
- 22 Pleasant Drive. Linda Lantz, L-A-N-T-Z, followed by John
- 23 Lantz.
- MS. LINDA LANCZ: Good evening. My name is

1 Linda Lancz and I am a Board member of the Ecological 2. Health Organization, whose purpose is to monitor any 3 projects that are proposed that impact the environment. was -- I'm currently living in Guilford, but I was born in 4 5 Branford and I am extremely familiar with all the impacted 6 areas on the shoreline that are currently being proposed 7 for the pipeline. 8 I don't know how anybody can seriously 9 consider this proposal. It absolutely boggles my mind 10 that it has been even given such serious consideration and 11 it has taken two years out of the lives of all the people 12 that you see here tonight who have had to waste their time 13 on this kind of foolishness. Now, Connecticut has been 14 through this before with another proposal for the Amtrak 15 train, where we saw all of Connecticut was united against 16 that foolishness and after years of wearing down the 17 opposition we got it anyway. And this is my concern about 18 what we're going through again and again. 19 Why are we here tonight? I would like to 20 know and I am sorry that no one on the Army Corps of 21 Engineers has addressed the issue of why this hearing is 22 even being held after DEP has denied this proposal. This is out of order as far as I understand it. 23 24 hoping somebody will speak to that.

1	But what I want to talk about is the
2	process of why we are here. I understand it's because the
3	administration has put pressure on you and put you in the
4	hot seat to try to reconsider something that has been
5	totally rejected by everybody. There is no support for
6	this proposal. In fact, there is such active opposition
7	as represented here tonight that it should not even be
8	considered, it should be dropped. Now, what we should do
9	is look for something that will give a permanent
10	injunction against this proposal so that we don't have to
11	come out here and waste our time night after night with
12	this kind of situation.
13	It is a waste. It is a threat. And I'm
14	
	beginning to think that American democracy means that all
15	beginning to think that American democracy means that all the people have the right to speak and vote and organize
15 16	
	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize
16	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country
16 17	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country have the right to ignore us.
16 17 18	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country have the right to ignore us. MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am.
16 17 18 19	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country have the right to ignore us. MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. Next speaker, John Lancz.
16 17 18 19 20	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country have the right to ignore us. MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. Next speaker, John Lancz. MR. JOHN LANCZ: John Lancz. I'm a
16 17 18 19 20 21	the people have the right to speak and vote and organize and the people who are supposed to be leading this country have the right to ignore us. MODERATOR ROSENBERG: Thank you ma'am. Next speaker, John Lancz. MR. JOHN LANCZ: John Lancz. I'm a Congregational minister, former resident of Stony Creek,